

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/22/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/976,283	10/12/2001	Wenbin Dang	GPT-024.01	1639	
29755	7590 01/22/2003				
FOLEY HOAG LLP PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD			EXAMINER		
			AZPURU, CARLOS A		
BOSTON, M	1A 02110-2600	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1615		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/976,283

Applicant(s)

Dang

Examiner

Carlos Azpuru

Art Unit **1615**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover si	heet with	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply							
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6 e application to bec) MONTHS frome ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			· ·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action is non-final.					
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-60</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		·	is/are allowed.			
6) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-60</u>	ar	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accept	ed or b)[\square objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examine						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) 🗌 All b) 🗎 Some* c) 🔲 None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified cor	ies not re	eceived.			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachm							
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)		•	0-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:							
o) ∐ im	onnation disclosure Statement(s) (FTO-1449) Paper No(s).	of other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/976,283

Art Unit: 1615

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-53 and 60, drawn to a composition for treating neoplasms, classified in class 424, subclass 78.37.
- II. Claims 54-58 drawn to a method for treating cell proliferation disorders, classified in class 424, subclass 423+.
- III. Claim 59, drawn to a method of making a composition for treatment of neoplasms, classified in class 525, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as carmustine.

Inventions Group I and Group III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different

Art Unit: 1615

process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make other materially different products.

Inventions Group II and Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the inventions have different modes of operation (a medical treatment vs. a chemical method of making) and different functions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The following election of species must also be made after electing one of Groups I-III:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The formula of claim 29 (claims 29-31), formula V (claims 32-35), formula VI (claims 36-41, 43 and 44), formula Vif (claim 42), formula VII (claims 45-47), formula VIII (claims 48-52). (If method claims are elected, they should refer to the species elected also.)

Application/Control Number: 09/976,283

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Theresa C. Kavanaugh on January 15, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is 703/308-0237. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

ca

January 15, 2003

CARLOS A. AZPURU

CROUP 1500